

Peter Gutowsky

From: Nunzie <nunzie@pacifier.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 10, 2015 5:01 PM
To: Nick Lelack; Peter Gutowsky
Cc: Tammy Baney; Alan Unger; Tony DeBone; Laurie Craghead
Subject: work session : Resort overnights 247-15-000444-TA

Importance: High

Please enter this into the Visitor's Section for this evening's Planning Commissioners' meeting:

Dear Planning Commissioners

RE: 247-15-000444-TA

I find it tragic that the Planning Commission would consider lessening the County's destination resort standards for the newest resort owner:

A resort owner who will claim that it is too financially burdensome to construct overnight units.

It is paramount that when you create precedence in Deschutes County that your Commission not create "One Off Legislation" at the ASK of one property owner but that you have a vision and plan for how Deschutes County's rural community will look in 50 years.

There is a reason that Cities have Urban Growth Boundaries and that these UGB's can expand.

Certainly Destination Resorts were not legislated in Oregon for rural housing sprawl.

Please do not sell our rural Deschutes County short.

Deschutes County would benefit by holding one set of rules for destination resorts and not specializing in fracking and losing track of what occurs at each destination resorts. There is no funding for more County bureaucracy.

Sincerely,

Nunzie Gould

541-420-3325